

**Documentation required for a review of an authorship concern, complaint or dispute**

1. A copy of the authorship agreement, and any previous versions of the document.
2. A copy of the research output in dispute, and if relevant, previous versions of the output showing evidence of contributions made by authors.
3. Copies of documents that show:
  - a. The process followed to determine authorship of the research output and copies of any documentation used to determine authorship and authorship order.
  - b. How each author has met the criteria for authorship attribution, consistent with the *Research Authorship and Publications Policy and Procedures* and *Authorship: A Guide supporting the Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research 2018*.
  - c. A list of all authors considered to be valid authors and the reasons why.
  - d. A list of any other individuals considered to have contributed to the publication and who should be acknowledged, along with evidence to support these individuals being acknowledged.
  - e. Any other relevant documentation, such as meeting minutes, emails between authors regarding authorship, drafts of the research output with track changes from authors.
  - f. Final approvals of the version to be published, where appropriate.
  - g. Communications between authors (and excluded authors) demonstrating attempts made to resolve the matter between the authors, and the outcome of these communications.
4. Copies of the Supervisor Candidate agreement in cases involving Higher Degree Researchers.
5. A statement outlining the nature of the resolution sought.